YEAR 2005 CASE SUMMARIES

By The Honorable Pat Garza

Associate Judge 386th District Court San Antonio, Texas

<u>2005 Summaries</u> <u>2004 Summaries</u> <u>2003 Summaries</u> <u>2002 Summaries</u> <u>2001 Summaries</u> <u>2000 Summaries</u> <u>1999 Summaries</u>

Traffic stop not considered custody, therefore warning requirements of Miranda and TFC §51.095 were not required.[In the Matter of R.A.](05-4-4)

On June 15, 2005, the Austin Court of Appeals held that this (case-by-case) routine traffic stop was "presumptively temporary and brief" and as a result, non-custodial, and questions asked by the officer were not considered custodial interrogation.

05-4-4. In the Matter of R.A., ___ S.W.3d ___, No. 03-04-00483-CV, 2005 Tex.App.Lexis 4663 (Tex.App.— Austin, 6/15/05). rel for pub. 8/19/05

Facts & Opinion: see ¶ 05-3-13.

LAST MODIFIED: SEPTEMBER 18, 2005 03:30 PM

DISCLAIMER I CONTACT US

© 1998-2004 Juvenile Law Section of the State Bar of Texas